

IMPERSONATION IN AN ACCESS SYSTEM

Inventor

Francisco J. Villavicencio

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL"
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.10

"Express Mail" mailing label number: EL 897 525 575 US
Date of Mailing: November 30, 2001

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, utilizing the "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service addressed to **Box PATENT APPLICATION, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA 22202** and mailed on the above Date of Mailing with the above "Express Mail" mailing label number.

Grace G. Baron

Signature Date: November 30, 2001

PREPARED BY
VIERRA MAGEN MACUS HARMON & DENIRO LLP
CUSTOMER ID: 000028554

- 1 -
IMPERSONATION IN AN ACCESS SYSTEM

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains material which is
5 subject to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no objection to the
reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the patent disclosure as it appears
in the United States Patent and Trademark Office patent file or records, but otherwise
reserves all copyright rights whatsoever.

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This Application is related to United States Patent Application titled "Proxy
System," by Joan C. Teng and Chi-Cheng Lee, Attorney Docket Number OBLX-
01025US0, filed on the same day as the present application, which is incorporated
herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

The present invention is directed to impersonating an entity in an access
20 system.

Description of the Related Art

As the impact of the Internet continues to alter the economic landscape,
companies are experiencing a fundamental shift in how they do business. Business
25 processes involve complex interactions between companies and their customers,
suppliers, partners, and employees. For example, businesses interact constantly with
their customers – often other businesses – to provide information on product
specification and availability. Businesses also interact with vendors and suppliers in
placing orders and obtaining payments. Businesses must also make a wide array of
30 information and services available to their employee populations, generating further
interactions. To meet new challenges and leverage opportunities, while reducing their

overall cost of interaction, many organizations are migrating to network-based business processes and models. One example is the Internet-based E-business model.

To effectively migrate their complex interactions to an Internet-based E-business environment, organizations must contend with a wide array of challenges and issues. For example, businesses need to securely provide access to business applications and content to users they deem authorized. To meet these challenges, E-business host companies have used web access management solutions to secure and manage all the various network based interactions. These systems will authenticate users attempting to access resources protected by the system, and selectively authorize the authenticated users to access one or more of the resources.

Use of prior and existing web access management solutions have given rise to customer service issues. For example, situations exist where entities attempting to access one or more resources protected by the web access management solution are not properly trained to use the web access management system. Therefore, they encounter trouble and are unable to effectively access the appropriate resources. Additionally, a web access management solution may have a bug or other issue that prevents a user from effectively accessing the requested resource. In those situations, as well as many other situations, users may attempt to contact a customer service representative for the entity providing the E-business interface. These customer service representatives need to troubleshoot, debug and verify end user experiences. However, current tools make it difficult for these customer service representatives to do such troubleshooting, debugging and verifying. At best, they can hypothesize about what the user is experiencing and make an educated guess as to a solution.

One attempt to provide a troubleshooting capability included editing functionality for each resource such that the resource will allow an administrator to be considered as a particular end user. The administrator will identify who that administrator is and which end user the administrator wishes to act as. The resource will then treat the administrator as that end user. Several problems have existed with the above described solution. One problem is that this solution requires every resource to be altered to include the additional functionality. One example of a resource is a software application. In some cases, there are many resources being

protected and, therefore, the effort to alter each resource is too costly. Furthermore, as new resources are added into the system, those new resources will need to be altered to add the functionality. In some cases, the resources cannot be altered. For example, if a resource is purchased from a third party vendor, the source code may not be provided and, therefore, the resource cannot be altered to add the functionality. Another problem is that this solution is too burdensome on the administrator. That is, if the administrator wants to interact with multiple resources, the administrator may need to authenticate at each resource. In some cases, the administrator needs to know or alter the user's password to be able to perform the troubleshooting, which imposes security risks and privacy issues.

Therefore, there is a need to provide a new means to troubleshoot, debug and verify end user experiences on a network based system for accessing resources.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention, roughly described, provides for a system that allows a first entity to impersonate a second entity. In one embodiment, the first entity can impersonate the second entity without knowing the second entity's password and/or without altering anything in the second entity's set of personal information. This invention provides administrators (or other entities) with the ability to troubleshoot problems in a live production system without disrupting users or the system.

One embodiment of the present invention includes a system for receiving authentication credentials for a first entity and an identification of a second entity. The system authenticates the first entity based on the received authentication credentials for the first entity. A cookie is created that stores an indication of the second entity if the step of authenticating was performed successfully. Finally, the first entity is authorized to access a resource. The step of authorizing the first entity's access to the resource is performed by treating the first entity as the second entity. That is, the first entity is impersonating the second entity such that it appears, to the resource being accessed, that it is being accessed by the second entity.

In one embodiment, the cookie described above is used to provide single sign-on capability. That is, once an entity authenticates, that entity is not required to re-

authenticate if the entity attempts to access a second resource. During subsequent attempt to access different resources, the system will access the cookie and determine if the user has already been authenticated. If the user has already been authenticated, then the user will be authorized to access the second resource based on the identity of the entity being impersonated (the impersonatee).

In various embodiments, the access system performing the steps of authentication and authorization protects a plurality of resources. Each of these resources is separate from the access system. Some embodiments allow for impersonation without the use of the above mentioned cookie.

Various embodiments of the present invention allow for an entity to impersonate an end user in order to gain access to the applications and services the end user has access for, and perform actions or functions on behalf of the end user. For example, somebody who works with an eProcurement application is on vacation and needs to have somebody else perform his/her functions with that eProcurement system while on vacation. Impersonation with the present invention allows this to take place regardless of the application or resource for which the impersonation is taking place.

Another example is a bank (or other business) that wants to provide personalized services to its clients. More specifically, the bank wants the teller to use the teller's terminal in order to access the banking applications and learn things about the client's account that would allow this teller to give a more customized/personalized service. Impersonation allows the teller to gain access to the client's banking environment.

The present invention can be accomplished using hardware, software, or a combination of both hardware and software. The software used for the present invention is stored on one or more processor readable storage media including hard disk drives, CD-ROMs, DVDs, optical disks, floppy disks, tape drives, RAM, ROM or other suitable storage devices. The software can be used to program a processor that is part of a computing device. An exemplar computing device may include a processor, memory, disks, a network interface, a monitor, a printer, a keyboard, a pointing device and other peripheral devices. In alternative embodiments, some or all

of the software can be replaced by dedicated hardware including custom integrated circuits, gate arrays, FPGAs, PLDs, and special purpose computers.

These and other objects and advantages of the present invention will appear more clearly from the following description in which the preferred embodiment of the invention has been set forth in conjunction with the drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a block diagram depicting the components of one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 2 is a block diagram depicting an example of a directory tree structure.

Figure 3 is a flow chart describing a process for creating a policy domain.

Figure 4 is a flow chart describing a process for adding an authorization rule.

Figure 5 is a flow chart describing a process for adding header variables to an HTTP request.

Figure 6 is a flow chart describing a process for adding an authentication rule.

Figure 7 is a flow chart describing a process for creating a policy.

Figure 8 is a flow chart describing an exemplar process performed by one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 9 is a flow chart describing one embodiment of a process for authentication.

Figure 10 is a block diagram depicting various components involved in one embodiment of the authentication process.

Figure 11 is a flow chart describing a process for authentication.

Figure 12 is a flow chart describing an example of form authentication.

Figure 13 is a flow chart describing one embodiment of a process to authenticate using an ID and password.

Figure 14 is a block diagram depicting the components of one embodiment of an encrypted cookie.

Figure 15 is a flow chart describing one embodiment for performing authorization.

Figure 16 is a flow chart describing a process for one embodiment of evaluating an authorization rule.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

5 Figure 1 depicts an Access System, which provides identity management and/or access management for a network. The identity management portion of the system (hereinafter “the Identity Management System”) manages identity profiles, while the access management portion of the system (hereinafter “the Access Management System”) protects (e.g. provides security for) one or many resources
10 across one or more web servers. A key feature of one embodiment of this system is the centralization of the repositories for policies and user identity profiles, while decentralizing their administration. That is, one embodiment of the system centralizes the policy and identity repositories by building them on directory service technology. The system decentralizes their administration by delegated Administrative roles.
15 Although the system of Figure 1 includes an Identity Management System and an Access Management System, other embodiments may only include an Access Management System.

 Figure 1 is a block diagram depicting one embodiment for deploying an Access System. Figure 1 shows web browsers 12 and 14 accessing Web Server 18
20 and/or Web Server 20 via Internet (or other network) 16. In one embodiment, web browsers 12 and 14 are standard web browsers known in the art running on any suitable type of computer. Figure 1 depicts web browsers 12 and 14 communicating with Web Server 24 and Web Server 20 using HTTP over the Internet; however, other protocols and networks can also be used.

25 Web Server 18 is a standard Web Server known in the art and provides an end user with access to various resources via Internet 16. In one embodiment, there is a first firewall (see dotted lines) connected between Internet 16 and Web Server 18, a second firewall (see dotted lines) connected between the Web Servers and Access Server 34/Identity Server 400.

30 Figure 1 shows two types of resources: resource 22 and resource 24. Resource 22 is external to Web Server 18 but can be accessed through Web Server 18.

Resource 24 is located on Web Server 18. Both resource 22 and 24 are separate from the Access System. A resource can be anything that is possible to address with a uniform resource locator (URL see RFC 1738). A resource can include a web page, software application, file, database, directory, a data unit, etc. In one embodiment, a resource is anything accessible to a user on a network. The network could be the Internet, a LAN, a WAN, or any other type of network. Table 1, below, provides examples of resources and at least a portion of their respective URL syntax:

| Resource | URL Encoding |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Directory | /Sales/ |
| HTML Page | /Sales/Collateral/index.html |
| CGI Script with no query | /cgi-bin/testscript.cgi |
| CGI Script with query | /cgi_bin/testscript.cgi?button=on |
| Application | /apps/myapp.exe |

Figure 1 shows Web Gate 28, which is a plug-in to Web Server 18. Web Gate 28 communicates with Access Server 34. Access Server 34 communicates with Directory Server 36.

The Access Management System includes Access Server 34, Web Gate 28, and Directory Server 36. Access Server 34 provides authentication, authorization, and auditing (logging) services. It further provides for identity profiles to be used across multiple domains and Web Servers from a single web-based authentication (sign-on). Web Gate 28 acts as an interface between Web Server 18 and Access Server 34. Web Gate 28 intercepts requests for resources, and authorizes them via Access Server 34. Access Server 34 is able to provide centralized authentication, authorization, and auditing services for resources hosted on or available to Web Server 18 and other Web Servers.

The Identity Management System includes Web Pass 38, Identity Server 40 and Directory Server 36. Identity Server 40 manages identity profiles. An identity

profile is a set of information associated with a particular entity (e.g. user, group, organization, etc.). The data elements of the identity profile are called attributes. An attribute may include a name, value and access criteria. The Identity Server includes three main applications, which effectively handle the identity profiles and privileges of the user population: User Manager 42, Group Manager 44, and Organization Manager 46. User Manager 42 manages the identity profiles for individual users. Group Manager 44 manages identity profiles for groups. Organization Manager 46 manages identity profiles for entire organizations.

Using the multi-step workflow engine, a company can tailor the functionality of each of these applications to its own operating processes. Having flexible administrative workflows for every step in user, group and organization management not only lowers e-business transaction costs, it also ensures consistent services and tight security across the entire distributed environment. Identity Server 40 also includes Publisher 48, which is an application, which enables entities to quickly locate and graphically view information stored in an LDAP directory (or other data store).

The Identity Management System's functionality is processed by Identity Server 40, which communicates with WebPass 38. In one embodiment, Web Pass 38 is a web server plug-in that sends information back and forth between Identity Server 40 and Web Server 20. The system also provides a Certificate Processing Server (not shown in Figure 1) for managing digital certificates.

User Manager 42 handles the key functions related to user identities and access privileges, including creation and deletion of user identity profiles, modification of user identity profile data, determination of access privileges, and credentials management of both passwords and digital certificates. With User Manager 42, the create, delete, and modify functions of user identity management can be set as flexible, multi-step workflows. Each business can customize its own approval, setup, and management processes without restriction and have multiple processes for different kinds of users.

Multi-level delegation features also simplify individual user management. Companies can assign the responsibility for maintaining user identity data to the people closest to it. For example, individual users can be allowed to add themselves

to the user directory by filling out customized forms, modify personal or professional information about themselves (such as addresses, personal preferences, or name changes), change a piece of information in their identity profiles that can determine their access rights, or allow someone else to log in as their temporary substitute while they are out of the office or on vacation.

Likewise, any number of delegated administrators (both inside and outside the company) can be given the authority to create and delete users in the user directory, approve a change a user has requested, and change the information about users to grant or revoke services. Any administrator can be delegated any degree of responsibility.

Group Manager 44 allows entities to identify groups of users who need identical access privileges to a specific resource or set of resources. Managing and controlling privileges for a group of related people—rather than handling their needs individually—yield valuable economies of scale. Group Manager 44 meets a wide range of e-business needs: easy creation, maintenance, and deletion of permanent and ad hoc groups of users who may be allowed or denied access to particular resources; modification and adaptation of groups and their access privileges with minimal disruption to the directory server's underlying schema; efficient addition and deletion of users from established groups; and delegation of administrative responsibility for group membership and subscription requests and approvals. With Group Manager 44, companies can allow individual users to self-subscribe to and unsubscribe from groups, see the groups that they are eligible to join or have joined, and request subscription to groups that have access to the applications they need. Multi-step workflows can then define which users must obtain approval before being added to a group and which can be added instantly. Group Manager 44 also lets companies form dynamic groups specified by an LDAP filter.

The third application in the Identity System, Organization Manager 46, streamlines the management of large numbers of organizations within an e-business network—partners, suppliers, or even major internal organizations such as sales offices and business units. Certain infrastructure security and management operations are best handled—or can only be handled—at the highest organizational unit (OU)

level rather than at the individual or group level. Like User Manager and Group Manager, this application relies on multi-step workflow and delegation capabilities.

Organization Manager handles the following administrative tasks: (1) organization lifecycle management, whereby companies can create, register, and delete organizations in their systems using customizable workflows; (2) maintenance of organization profiles on an attribute-by-attribute basis through self-service, delegated administration and system-initiated activities; (3) organization self-registration, whereby organizations such as business partners, customers and suppliers can self-generate a request to be added to the e-business network; and (4) creation of reusable rules and processes through multi-step workflows.

The system of Figure 1 can be used to protect a web site, network, Intranet, Extranet, etc. To understand how the system of Figure 1 protects a web site (or other structure), it is important to understand the operation of unprotected web sites. In a typical unprotected web site, end users cause their browsers to send a request to a Web Server. The request is usually an HTTP request, which includes a URL. The Web Server then translates, or maps, the URL into a file system's name space and locates the matching resource. The resource is then returned to the browser.

With the system of Figure 1 deployed, Web Server 18 (enabled by Web Gate 28, Access Server 34, and Directory Server 36) can make informed decisions based on default and/or specific rules about whether to return requested resources to an end user. The rules are evaluated based on the end user's identity profile, which is managed by the Identity System. In one embodiment of the present invention, the general method proceeds as follows. An end user enters a URL or an identification of a requested resource residing in a protected policy domain. The user's browser sends the URL as part of an HTTP request to Web Server 18. Web Gate 28 intercepts the request. If the end user has not already been authenticated, Web Gate 28 causes Web Server 18 to issue a challenge to the browser for log-on information. The received log-on information is then passed back to Web Server 18 and on to Web Gate 28. Web Gate 28 in turn makes an authentication request to Access Server 34, which determines whether the user's supplied log-on information is authentic or not. Access Server 34 performs the authentication by accessing attributes of the user's identity

profile and the resource's authentication criteria stored on Directory Server 36. If the user's supplied log-on information satisfies the authentication criteria, the process flows as described below; otherwise, the end user is notified that access to the requested resource is denied and the process halts. After authenticating the user, Web Gate 28 queries Access Server 34 about whether the user is authorized to access the resource requested. Access Server 34 in turn queries Directory Server 36 for the appropriate authorization criteria for the requested resource. Access Server 34 retrieves the authorization criteria for the resource and, based on that authorization criteria, Access Server 34 answers Web Gate 28's authorization query. If the user is authorized, the user is granted access to the resource; otherwise, the user's request is denied. Various alternatives to the above described flow are also within the spirit and scope of the present invention.

Authentication and Authorization decisions are based on policy domains and policies. A policy domain is a logical grouping of Web Server host ID's, host names, URL prefixes, and rules. Host names and URL prefixes specify the course-grain portion of the web name space a given policy domain protects. Rules specify the conditions in which access to requested resources is allowed or denied, and to which end users these conditions apply. Policy domains contain two levels of rules: first level default rules and second level rules contained in policies. First level default rules apply to any resource in a policy domain not associated with a policy.

A policy is a grouping of a URL pattern, resource type, operation type (such as a request method), and policy rules. These policy rules are the second level rules described above. Policies are always attached to a policy domain and specify the fine-grain portion of a web name space that a policy protects. In practice, the host names and URL prefixes from the policy domain the policy belongs to are logically concatenated with the policy's URL pattern and the resulting overall patterns compared to the incoming URL. If there is a match, then the policy's various rules are evaluated to determine whether the request should be allowed or denied; if there is not a match, then default policy domain rules are used.

The system of Figure 1 is scalable in that there can be many Web Servers, many Access Servers, and many Identity Servers. In one embodiment, Directory

Server 36 is an LDAP Directory Server and communicates with other servers/modules using LDAP over SSL. In other embodiments, Directory Server 36 can implement other protocols or can be other types of data repositories (e.g. SQL, etc.). Figure 1 shows Identity Server 40 communicating with directory server 36. The system can also support multiple directory servers (or other types of data stores).

The various components depicted in Figure 1 can be implemented using general purposes, specialized, handheld, wireless, or other types of computing devices. These devices are likely to have one or more processing units, memory for storing software and data, hard disks, CD-ROMs, displays, keyboards/keypads, pointing devices, network interfaces, and other peripherals.

There are many ways for an entity to access and use the Access System. In one embodiment, the entity can access the Access Systems using a browser. In other embodiments, XML documents and API's can be used to access the system.

The basic unit of information stored in a directory is called entry or an identity profile, which is a collection of information about an object. Often, the information in an identity profile describes some real-world object such as a person, but this is not required by the model. A typical directory includes many identity profiles that correspond to people, departments, servers, printers, and other real-world objects in the organization served by the directory.

An identity profile is composed of a set of attributes, each of which describes one particular trait of the object. Each attribute has a type, one or more values, and associated access criteria. The type describes the kind of information contained in the attribute, and the value contains the actual data. An identity profile has a set of attribute types that are required and a set of attribute types that are allowed. For example, an identity profile describing a person is required to have a cn (common name) attribute and a sn (surname) attribute. A number of other attributes are allowed, but not required. One example of an allowed attribute may be a nickname. Any other attribute type not explicitly required or allowed is prohibited. The collections of all information about required and allowed attributes are called the directory schemas.

Example of attributes stored in a user identity profile include: first name, middle name, last name, title, email address, telephone number, fax number, mobile telephone number, pager number, pager email address, identification of work facility, building number, floor number, mailing address, room number, mail stop, manager, direct reports, administrator, organization that the user works for, department number, department URL, skills, projects currently working on, past projects, home telephone, home address, birthday, previous employers and anything else desired to be stored by an administrator. Examples of attributes stored in a group identity profile include: owner, name, description, static members, dynamic member rule, subscription policies, etc. Examples of attributes stored in an organization identity profile include: owner, name, description, business category, address, country, etc. In other embodiments, less or more than the above-listed information is stored.

Figure 2 depicts an exemplar directory tree that can be stored on Directory Server 36. Each node on the tree is an entry in the directory structure that includes an identity profile. In one embodiment, the entity can be a user, group or organization. Node 230 is the highest node on the tree and represents an entity responsible for the directory structure. In one example, an entity may set up an Extranet and grant Extranet access to many different companies. The entity setting up the Extranet is node 230. Each of the companies with Extranet access would have a node at a level below node 230. For example, company A (node 232) and company B (node 234) are directly below node 230. Each company may be broken up into organizations. The organizations could be departments in the company or logical groups to help manage the users. For example, Figure 2 shows company A broken up into two organizations: organization A with node 236 and organization B with node 238. Company B is shown to be broken up into two organizations: organization C with node 240 and organization D with node 242. Figure 2 shows organization A having two end users: employee 1 with node 250 and employee 2 with node 252. Organization B is shown with two end users: employee 3 with node 254 and employee 4 with node 256. Organization C is shown with two end users: employee 5 with node 258 and employee 6 with node 260. Organization D is shown with two end users: employee 7 with node 262 and employee 8 with node 264.

Each entity has a distinguished name (DN), which uniquely identifies the node. In one embodiment, each entry also has a relative name, which is different from all other relevant names on the same level of the hierarchy. In one implementation, the distinguished name (DN) comprises a union of the relative names along the tree up to the top entity. For example, the distinguished name of employee 1 (node 250) is

DN = CN = Empl, , O = CompanyA, OU = OrgA, DC = entity,

where:

| | | |
|----|---|-------------------|
| DC | = | Domain Component |
| O | = | Organization |
| OU | = | Organization Unit |
| CN | = | common name. |

Figure 2 shows a hierarchical tree. Some organizations employ fat or flat trees for ease of maintenance. A flat directory tree is a directory information tree that does not have any hierarchy. All of the nodes are leaf nodes (nodes without any child nodes). A fat directory tree is a tree that has a large number of nodes at any given level in a directory information tree. One advantage of a fat or flat tree is user maintenance. For example, if an employee moves to a new group, the node must be moved to a new container if the tree is not flat or fat. By moving the node to a new container, the distinguished name for the node changes and all certificates become void. One drawback of flat or fat trees is that the organization loses the benefits of having a logical directory, such as using the logical directory to determine who has access to which nodes. To remedy this, the Identity System includes partition support for fat and flat tree directories using filters. From a configuration page, an attribute can be configured to be accessible (read, modify, etc.) based on a two part filter. The first component in the filter identifies a top node in the directory. The filter will only apply to those entities at or below that top node. The second component of the filter is an LDAP filter which defines who can access the attribute. This two component filter can be applied on an attribute by attribute basis and on a write by write basis within the attribute.

Figure 3 is a flow chart, which describes the process of creating a policy domain. In step 600, a request is received to create a policy domain. In step 602, the name of the policy domain and the description of the policy name are stored. In step 604, one or more URL prefixes are added to the policy domain. In step 605, one or more host ID's are added to the policy domain (optional). Next, one or more access rules are added to the policy domain. An access rule is a rule about accessing a resource. Examples of access rules include authorization rules, authentication rules, auditing rules, and other rules which are used during the process, or attempting to access a resource. In step 606, a first level (default) authentication rule is added to the policy domain. In general, authentication is the process of verifying the identity of the user. Authentication rules specify the challenge method by which end users requesting access to a resource in the policy domain must prove their identity (authentication). As previously discussed, first level (default) authentication rules apply to all resources in a policy domain, while second level authentication rules are associated with policies that apply to subsets of resources or specific resources in the policy domain. In one embodiment, there is only one default authentication rule for a policy domain. If an administrator desires an authentication rule to apply to only a specific resource in the policy domain, a separate policy for that specific resource having a second level (specific) authentication rule should be defined, as discussed below. After setting up the authentication rule in step 606, one or more first level or default authorization rules are added to the policy domain in step 608. In general, an authorization rule determines who can access a resource. The default authorization rule allows or denies users access to resources within its applicable policy domain. If multiple authorization rules are created, then they are evaluated in an order specified in step 610. In step 612, a first level (default) audit rule is configured for the policy domain. In step 614, zero or more policies are added to the policy domain. In step 616, the data for the policy domain is stored in Directory Server 36 and appropriate caches (optional) are updated. In one embodiment, an authorization rule or an authentication rule can be set up to always grant authentication without any challenge or verification or always grant authorization without any verification.

Figure 4 is a flow chart describing the process of adding one or more authorization rules to a policy domain. In step 632, timing conditions are set up for the authorization rule. Timing conditions restrict the time when the authorization rule is in effect. For example, users can be allowed access to URLs in the policy domain only during business hours, Monday through Friday. In one embodiment, if timing conditions are not set, the authorization rule is always in effect. In steps 634 and 636, authorization actions are set up. Authorization actions personalize the end user's interaction with the Web Server. In step 634, header variables are provided for authorization success events and authorization failure events. This feature allows for the passing of header variables about the end user (or other information) to other web-enabled resources. Web-enabled applications can personalize the end user's interaction with the Web Server using these header variables. As a simple example, the actions could supply each application with the user's name. An application could then greet the user with the message "hello < user's name >" whenever the user logs on. Header variables are variables that are part of an HTTP request. If an authorization rule is set up with header variables as part of an authorization success action, then when a successful authorization occurs the HTTP request to the resource will include the header variables.

Figure 5 is a flow chart that describes the process of adding header variables to an HTTP request. Header variables can be added during an authorization success event, authorization failure event, authentication success event or authentication failure event. In step 650, the variable name is entered. In step 652, a text string is entered. In step 654, one or more LDAP attributes are identified. In step 656, it is determined whether any more header variables will be added. If not, the method of Figure 5 is done (step 658). If so, the method of Figure 5 loops back to step 650.

The variable name entered in step 650 is a value that appears in the HTTP header that names the variable. The downstream resource using the header variable will search for the variable name. The string entered is data that can be used by the downstream resource. The LDAP attribute(s) can be one or more attributes from the requesting user's identity profile. Thus, in the simple authorization success example described above, the variable name field can include "authorization success," the

return field can include "yes," and the attribute field can include the name attribute for the user in the user's identity profile. Any of the attributes from the user's identity profile can be selected as a header variable.

Looking back at Figure 4, in step 636, a redirect URL can be added for an authorization success event and a redirect URL can be entered for an authorization failure event. Step 638 includes specifying which users are allowed to access the resource associated with the authorization rule. By default, users cannot access a resource until they are granted access rights to it. In one embodiment, there are at least four means for specifying who can access a resource. The first means is to explicitly name a set of users who can access the resource. A second means includes identifying user roles. The third means is to enter an LDAP rule that can be used to identify a set of users based on a combination of one or more attributes. A fourth means is to enter an IP address, which will allow users of computers having the specified IP address to access the resource. Step 640 is used to specify the users not allowed to access the resource associated with this rule. Identification of users, roles, LDAP rules, and IP addresses are entered in step 640 in the same manner as entered in step 638. It is possible that a particular user can be subject to both an allow access rule and a deny access rule. Step 642 is used to set a priority between such rules. Optional step 644 is used to define any POST data to be used for authorization if this feature is implemented. An HTTP POST request can include POST data in the body of the HTTP request. POST data can also be submitted in query string form. In optional step 644, an administrator defines which (if any) POST data is to be used for authorization purposes. Step 646 is used to set a priority of evaluation for the authorization rule relative to other authorization rules in a given policy. In one embodiment, if multiple authorization rules apply to a resource, this priority determines the order of evaluation.

Figure 6 is a flow chart describing the process for adding an authentication rule. In step 670, a challenge scheme (also called an authentication scheme) is selected. An authentication scheme is a method for requesting log-on information (e.g. ID and password) from end users trying to access a web resource. Within an authentication scheme is a challenge method (e.g. Basic, certificate or form). There

can be more than one authentication scheme with the same challenge method (e.g. Basic over LDAP, Basic over NT Domain, . . .). Various other authentication schemes can also be used. In step 672, header variables are added for authentication success and authentication failure events. In step 674, redirect URLs are added for authentication success events and authentication failure events.

Figure 7 is a flow chart describing the process of adding a policy. In step 718, a resource type is specified. The resource type allows different resources to be handled by different policies, depending on the nature of the resource itself. For example, in one embodiment, the resource type will distinguish between resources accessed using HTTP and resources accessed using FTP. In another embodiment, Enterprise Java Beans (EJBs) are a possible resource type. In another embodiment, user-defined custom resource types are supported. In step 720, an operation type is specified. This allows different resources to be handled by different policies, depending on the operations used to request the resource. In one embodiment, the operations will be HTTP requests. Supported HTTP request methods include GET, POST, PUT, HEAD, DELETE, TRACE, OPTIONS, CONNECT, and OTHER. In another embodiment, if EJBs are identified as the resource type (step 718), an EXECUTE operation can be specified in step 720. In another embodiment, user-defined custom operations are supported. Other operations can also be supported. In step 722, a pattern for the URL path to which the policy applies is specified. This is the part of URL that does not include the protocol ("http") and host/domain ("www.oblix.com"), and appears before a "?" character in the URL. In step 724, a query string is specified. This is a set of variables and values that must be included in the specified order in an incoming URL for the policy to match and be activated. For example, in the URL

"HTTP://www.zoo.com/animals.cgi?uid=maneaters&tigers=2"

the values after the question mark (e.g. "uid=maneaters&tigers=2") comprise a query string. Only a URL exhibiting the query string can match to this policy. For example, a URL with the "tigers" variable appearing before the "uid" variable will not match the above-identified policy. In step 726, query string variables are added. Query string variables include a name of a variable and the variable's corresponding

value. Query string variables are used when it is desirable that multiple variables are found in the query string, but the order is unimportant. Thus, for a policy with query string variables "uid=maneaters" and "tigers=2," a URL with a query string having the appropriate uid and appropriate tigers variable, in any order, will match the policy. In order for a resource URL to apply to a policy, the path of the requested resource URL must match the path of the policy as well as any query string or query variables. As discussed above, POST data can be submitted in query string form (for example, in a form submission), and evaluated using the query string variables entered in step 726. The query string or query variables do not need to uniquely identify a resource. Rather, they are used to identify a policy, which may apply to one or more resources.

In step 728 of Figure 7, an authentication rule is created. In step 730, one or more authorization rules are created for the policy. In step 732, an audit rule is configured for the policy. In step 734, POST data (optional) is added to the policy. This POST data is used to map resources with policies.

The present invention supports the use of multiple authentication schemes. An authentication scheme comprises an authentication level, a challenge method, an SSL assertion parameter, a challenge redirect parameter, and authentication plug-ins. The authentication level represents an arbitrary designation of the level of confidence that an administrator has in a particular authentication scheme relative to other authentication schemes.

In one embodiment of the present invention, an authentication scheme can specify one of four challenge methods: none, basic, form, and X.509. If an authentication scheme's challenge method is set to "none," no authentication is required to access a requested resource, thus allowing support for unauthenticated users. This challenge method can be used over both unsecured as well as SSL connections. The "basic" challenge method can also be used over both unsecured and SSL connections. The "X.509" challenge method can only be used over an SSL connection between a user's browser and Web Server host, because the authentication method invoked for an X509 challenge method is part of the SSL protocol. A "form" challenge method employs a custom, site-specific HTML form presented to the user,

who enters information and submits the form. Subsequent processing is determined by the administrator at the time the authentication scheme is created. Form challenge methods can be used over both unsecured and SSL connections.

The SSL parameter of an authentication scheme identifies whether SSL is to be asserted on the connection to the user's browser by the Web Server. The challenge parameter identifies where to redirect a request for authentication for the particular authentication scheme. In some embodiments, authentication plug-ins are necessary for processing the user's supplied information.

An authentication scheme that an attacker can easily and profitably eavesdrop upon is typically considered "weak." In one embodiment, the basic authentication challenge method places the user's credential (supplied information), a simple password, "in the clear" over an unsecured network connection. However, the authentication scheme can be made stronger by passing the user's credential over an encrypted connection, such as SSL.

When a user first requests a protected resource, the user is challenged according to the authentication scheme defined by the first level authentication rule in the applicable policy domain or the second level authentication rule in the applicable policy associated with the requested resource. If the user satisfies the authentication rule, an encrypted authentication cookie is passed to the user's browser indicating a successful authentication. Once authenticated, the user may request a second resource protected by a different policy domain and/or policy with a different authentication rule. The user will be allowed access to the second resource without re-authenticating if the authentication level of the authentication scheme used to successfully authenticate for the first resource is equal to or greater than the authentication level of the authentication scheme of the second resource. Otherwise, the user is challenged and asked to re-authenticate for the second resource in accordance with the second resource's higher level authentication scheme. Satisfaction of a higher or lower authentication level is determined by evaluating the authentication cookie sent by the user's browser when requesting the second resource. In one embodiment of the present invention, administrators can define an unlimited number of authentication levels.

Once authenticated, a user can explicitly log out, causing authentication cookies cached (or otherwise stored) by the user's browser to be destroyed or become invalid. Authentication cookies can also be set by an administrator to be destroyed after a maximum idle time has elapsed between requests to resources protected in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 8 provides a flow chart for one embodiment of a method for authenticating, authorizing, and logging. In step 750, a user's browser 12 requests a web-enabled resource 22 or 24. The request is intercepted by Web Gate 28 in step 752. The method then determines whether the requested resource is protected by an authentication and/or authorization rule in step 753. If the resource is not protected, then access is granted to the requested resource in step 795. If the requested resource is protected (e.g. the URL maps to a policy domain), then the method proceeds to step 754. If the user has previously authenticated for a protected resource in the same domain, a valid authentication cookie will be passed by browser 12 with the request in step 750 and intercepted by Web Gate in step 752. If a valid cookie is received (step 754), the method attempts to authorize the user in step 756. If no valid authorization cookie is received (step 754), then the method attempts to authenticate the user for the requested resource (step 760).

If the user successfully authenticates for the requested resource (step 762), then the method proceeds to step 774. Otherwise, the unsuccessful authentication is logged in step 764. After step 764, the system then performs authentication failure actions and Web Gate 28 denies the user access to the requested resource in step 766. In step 774, the successful authentication of the user for the resource is logged. The method then performs authentication success actions in step 766. In step 778, an authentication cookie is created. In one embodiment, the cookie includes the distinguished name of the authenticated user received from the authentication process. In the instance where user A is trying to impersonate user B, the distinguished name (or other identification) for user B will be stored in the cookie. Web Gate 28 then passes a valid authentication cookie to browser 12 in step 780, which is stored by browser 12. After passing the cookie in step 780, the system attempts to authorize in step 756.

In step 756, the method attempts to determine whether the user is authorized to access the requested resource. In many cases, authorization is performed based on the identity profile associated with the distinguished name stored in the authentication cookie. Therefore, when impersonating, authorization is based on the identity profile of the user being impersonated, not on the basis of the identity profile of the user doing the impersonation. If the user is authorized (step 790), the method proceeds to step 792. Otherwise, the unsuccessful authorization is logged in step 796. After step 796, the method performs authorization failure actions (step 798) and Web Gate 28 denies the user access to the requested resource. If authorization is successful (step 790), then the successful authorization of the user is logged in step 792, authorization success actions are performed in step 794, and the user is granted access to the requested resource in step 795. If user A is impersonating user B, then in step 795, user A is granted access to the resource as user B. That is, the Access System and the resource treat the user as user B and use user B's identity profile. In one embodiment of step 795, some or all of the HTTP request information is provided to the resource.

Figure 8 contemplates a user requesting a resource and that request being intercepted. One alternative is that a log-in page is made available directly to users. Instead of requesting a resource, the user can request the log-in page. This log-in page can include a form to enter the user's ID and password. There can also be fields to enter the ID for the user to be impersonated and the resource requested. Alternatively, there can be a separate page, provided after authentication, to indicate the resource desired. In another alternative, after authentication the user will simply point the browser at the desired resource.

Figure 9 provides a flowchart of a method for authenticating a user for various combinations of domains and Web Servers through a single authentication performed by the user. As will be apparent to those skilled in the art, an Internet domain can reside on a single Web Server, or be distributed across multiple Web Servers. In addition, multiple Internet domains can reside on a single Web Server, or can be distributed across multiple Web Servers. In accordance with the present invention, the method of Figure 9 allows a user to satisfy the authentication requirements of a plurality of domains and/or Web Servers by performing a single authentication.

In the simplest case, all of an e-business host company's Web Servers will be in the same domain (i.e. oblix.com). When a user successfully authenticates at one of the Web Servers, the Web Gate running on the authenticating Web Server causes the Web Server to return an encrypted cookie, indicating a successful authentication.

5 Subsequent requests by the browser to the domain will pass this cookie, proving the user's identity; therefore, further authentications are unnecessary.

In a more complex case, an e-business host company's web presence incorporates associated web sites whose Web Servers have names in multiple domains. In such a multiple domain case, each of the associated portal Web Servers

10 use a Web Gate plug-in configured to redirect user authentication exchanges to the e-business host's designated web log-in Web Server. The user is then authenticated at the e-business host's web log-in server, and an encrypted cookie is issued for the e-business host's domain to the user's browser. The user's browser is then redirected back to the original associated portal's site where the Web Gate creates a new cookie

15 for the associated portal's domain and returns it to the user's browser.

As a result, the user is transparently authenticated in both the original associated portal's domain and the e-business host's domain. The process is transparently performed for each different associated portal that a user may visit during a session. The present invention's associated portal support easily supports

20 single Web Servers having multiple DNS names in multiple domains, and/or multiple network addresses. In accordance with the present invention, this multiple domain authentication enables "staging" of web sites. For example, a new edition of a web site can be deployed on a separate set of servers, and then mapped to policy domains protected by the present invention by simply updating the policy domain's host ID's.

25 In step 1020 of Figure 9, the system determines whether single or multiple domains are protected in a given deployment of the present invention. If only a single domain is protected, then the method proceeds to step 1022 where an authentication is attempted at the single domain. If the single domain is distributed across multiple Web Servers, then the domain attribute of the cookie set by the authenticating Web

30 Server in step 1022 is set to broadly include all Web Servers in the domain.

If multiple domains are protected, the method proceeds to step 1024 determines whether the multiple protected domains all reside on a single Web Server. For example, a single machine intranet.oblix.com may be addressed in multiple ways such as: sifl.oblix.com, intranet, asterix.oblix.com, or 192.168.70.1. In accordance with the present invention, when multiple domains reside on a single Web Server, an administrator will designate exactly one of the domains a "preferred host domain." If step 1024 indicates that all protected domains reside on the same Web Server, then the system determines whether the domain of the requested resource is a preferred host (step 1026). If it is a preferred host, then the system attempts to authenticate the user at the preferred host domain in step 1030. Otherwise, browser 12 is re-directed to the preferred host domain (step 1028) for authentication (step 1030). Referring to step 1024, if the multiple protected domains reside on multiple Web Servers, then the method proceeds to step 1032.

In one embodiment, a single policy domain and/or policies are created for the preferred host domain while no policy domains or policies are created for the other domains residing on the same web server. All resource requests made to any of the multiple protected domains residing on the same web server are redirected to the preferred host domain, thus requiring the user to authenticate according to the preferred host domain's policy domain and/or policies. As a result, after authentication at the preferred host domain, the user is transparently authenticated for all other domains residing on the same web server. When subsequent resource requests for resources in domains residing on the same web server are redirected to the preferred host domain, the prior successful authentication for the host domain can be confirmed by the existence of a valid authentication cookie for the preferred host domain. If such a cookie exists, then the user need not re-authenticate for the requested resource. In one embodiment, if subsequent resource requests made to the preferred host domain (or any of the other domains on the same web server) require a higher level of authentication, or if a previously valid authentication has expired, the user will be required to re-authenticate at the preferred host domain.

Figure 10 provides a block diagram of a plurality of Web Servers, each hosting a different domain accessible by browser 1082. In accordance with the

present invention, when multiple domains are protected and distributed across multiple Web Servers, the administrator will identify exactly one of the domains a "master domain." As identified in Figure 10, Web Server 1070 hosts master domain A.com, while Web Servers 1072 and 1074 host domains B.com and C.com, respectfully. An end user's resource request is illustrated in Figure 29 by path 1084 from browser 1082 to Web Server 1072.

Referring back to Figure 9, if it is determined that the domain of the requested resource is a master domain (step 1032), then the system attempts to authenticate at the master domain (step 1034). Otherwise, Web Gate redirects browser 1082 to the master domain (step 1036). The user then authenticates at the master domain (step 1038). The redirection and authentication of steps 1036 and 1038 are illustrated in Figure 10 by path 1086. Upon a successful authentication at the master domain, the master domain Web Server passes an authentication cookie to the user's browser (step 1040) and re-directs the user's browser back to the first domain accessed by the user (step 1042). Also in step 1042, the master domain passes information contained in the master domain authentication cookie to the first domain in the query data portion of the redirection URL. Steps 1040 and 1042 are illustrated by paths 1088 and 1090, respectively in Figure 10. In step 1044, the Web Gate of the first domain Web Server extracts the master domain authentication cookie information from the redirection URL, thus confirming the user's authentication at the master domain and resulting in a successful authentication (step 1046). The first domain Web Server (B.com) then sends its own authentication cookie to web browser 1082 (as depicted by path 1092). Any subsequent authentication by browser 1082 at domain C.com on Web Server 1074 follows the method of Figure 9.

Figure 11 provides a flow chart of the method for authenticating, as performed in steps 1022, 1030, 1034, and 1038 of Figure 9. In step 1120, the system accesses the authentication challenge method that is to be used for the given resource. This challenge method is stored in the directory, and can also be stored in a cache. In step 1126, the system discerns whether the authentication challenge scheme calls for basic, form, certificate, or no authentication. If the challenge scheme indicates basic authentication, then the method proceeds to step 1128 and performs basic

authentication. If the challenge scheme indicates form authentication, then the method proceeds to step 1130 and performs form authentication. If the challenge scheme indicates certificate authentication, then the method proceeds to step 1132 and performs certificate authentication. If the challenge scheme indicates that no authentication is required (step 1134), then the user is not challenged, authentication is not performed.

Figure 12 provides a flow chart describing a method for performing form authentication. Form authentication includes a user being presented with a form (e.g. graphical) so that the user can provide authentication credentials and other information. In one embodiment, authentication credentials include an ID (also called a user name) and password. In other embodiments, authentication credentials can include other information to be used to authenticate. The user will also be provided with a field to enter an ID for a user to be impersonated. If the user does not enter an ID for a user to be impersonated, the user will be logged in as itself. If user A provides an ID for user B (to impersonate user B), then user A will impersonate user B as described herein. In step 1308, the system sets a "form login" cookie on browser 12. The cookie includes the URL of the requested resource. Browser 12 is then redirected to an authentication form URL (step 1310). In step 1312, Web Gate 28 allows the authentication form referenced by the authentication form URL to pass to browser 12. In step 1314, the user fills out the authentication form (e.g. ID, PW, impersonate ID) and transmits the information from the authentication form (step 1316), passing the form login cookie previously set in step 1308. Web Gate 28 then extracts the URL of the requested resource from the form login cookie (step 1318), and passes the user ID, password, and impersonate ID provided by the user in the authentication form (submitted as POST data) to Access Server 34 (step 1320).

In step 1322, the Access Server authenticates the user for the requested resource using the user's ID and password received from Web Gate 28. In step 1324, Access Server 34 sends a request to Directory Server 36 to find the identity profile having a user ID attribute that matches the ID provided for the user to be impersonated. Directory Server 36 finds the identity profile, which has as an attribute ID for the user to be impersonated. In step 1326, Access Server 34 is provided with

the attributes of the identity profile for the person to be impersonated. In various embodiments, different ones of those attributes are used. In one embodiment, Access Server 34 uses the distinguished name (DN). In other embodiments, other attributes are provided. In step 1328, Access Server 34 returns the authentication result. If the result is a successful authentication (and in some embodiments, even if it is not), the Access Server returns (and, possibly carries out) the authentication actions, the DN for the person to be impersonated (based on the identity profile from step 1324 and 1326) and other attributes of interest (depending on embodiment) to Web Gate 28. In step 1330, Web Gate 28 sets the form login cookie to "done." Web Gate 28 will store the DN for the person to be impersonated in the cookie created in step 778 of Figure 8. Note that if there is no impersonation, then steps 1324 and 1326 are not performed and, in step 1382, Access Server 34 returns the DN for the entity that was authenticated.

Figure 13 provides a flow chart describing an exemplar method used by the Access Server to authenticate using a user ID and password (step 1322 of Figure 12). In step 1400, the system verifies the search base. In some embodiments, the process of authentication is performed by a plug-in or other process. This process can be provided with a search base, which identifies a portion of the directory tree to search within in order to find the profile of the entity being authenticated. Step 1400 includes verifying that an appropriate search base was provided and that the search base provided exists in the directory tree. In other embodiments of step 1400, the system only verify that a search base was provided. In step 1402, the system will verify that an identification and password were provided for the entity being authenticated. In step 1408, the accesses will request a search of user identity profiles in Directory Server 36 for a user identity profile having a user ID attribute matching the user ID received from Web Gate 28. If no matching user identity profile attribute is found in Directory Server 36 (step 1410), the method proceeds to step 1412 and the authentication result is reported as being not successful. If a matching user identity profile is found, then the Access System attempts to bind to that user identity profile in step 1414. That is, Access Server 34 provides the password to Directory Server 36. The Directory Server verifies that the user supplied password matches the password in

the identity profile. In other embodiments, other attributes can also be verified against data supplied from a login page or other source. If the bind was successful (e.g. the password matches) (step 1416), then the authentication was successful and reported as such in step 1418. If the bind was unsuccessful (step 1416), then authentication failed and is reported as being not successful in step 1412. In an alternative embodiment, after determining that the bind was successful, the system may determine whether the user being authenticated is on a revoked user list. If the user is on the revoked user list, then authentication fails. When authentication is successful, one embodiment includes retrieving all the attributes from the user's identity profile and storing them in a cache (or elsewhere) for later use with authorization.

Figure 14 provides a block diagram of an authentication cookie 1450 passed by Web Gate 28 to browser 12. Cookie 1450 is encrypted with a symmetric cipher so that cookies from all instances of Web Gate 28 in a given deployment of the present invention may be encrypted using the same key. This key (shared secret) is stored on Directory Server 36 and distributed to each of the Web Gates 28 by Access Server 34. The shared secret can change as often as desired by an administrator. In one embodiment of the present invention, cookie 1450 is encrypted using RC4 encryption with a 2048 bit key. In one embodiment, previously valid keys are grand fathered such that both the current key and the immediately prior key will both work to decrypt encrypted cookie 1450. The present invention features a one-button key regeneration function.

In one embodiment, the information stored by cookie 1450 includes the authentication level 1452 of the authentication scheme used to create the cookie, distinguished name (DN) 1454, the IP address 1456 of the authenticated user, and session start time 1458 identifying the time at which cookie 1450 was created. If the time elapsed since the session start time 1458 exceeds a maximum session time, the cookie will become invalid. Idle start time 1460 is also stored, which identifies the time when the previous HTTP request for a protected resource was made in which cookie 1450 was passed. If the time elapsed since the idle start time 1460 exceeds a maximum idle time, the cookie will become invalid. Both of these time limits force

users to re-authenticate if they have left a session unattended for longer than the maximum session or idle times. Cookie 1450 also stores a secured hash 1462 of information 1452, 1454, 1456, 1458, and 1460. In one embodiment of the present invention, secured hash 1462 is created using an MD5 hashing algorithm. When user
5 A is impersonating user B, the distinguished name stored in DN field 1454 of cookie 1450 is the distinguished name of user B which was accessed in step 1326 of Figure 12. If there is no impersonation, then DN field 1454 stores the distinguished name of the user who was authenticated. Other embodiments store a different type of name or identification, rather than a distinguished name.

10 The process of authorizing (step 756 of Figure 8) includes evaluating a set of authorization rules against attributes and/or other data. Figure 15 is a flow chart describing one embodiment of the process for authorizing. In step 1520, the system will access the distinguished name (or other name) from the cookie. If user A is impersonating user B, then the distinguished name accessed is for user B. Thus, the
15 Access Management System will be treating user A as if it were user B trying to be authorized. Any header variables sent to the resources will also be based on user B attributes. If user A is not trying to impersonate, then the distinguished name accessed is that of user A. In step 1524, attributes from the identity profile associated with the distinguished name of step 1520 are accessed. Thus, if user A is
20 impersonating user B, then the attributes for user B's identity profile are accessed. In step 1526, the appropriate authorization rule(s) is accessed based on a match of the resource to a policy domain or policy. Note that attributes and rules can be cached. In step 1528, the authorization rule(s) is applied to the attributes and/or other data.

Figure 16 provides a flow chart describing the method of applying an
25 authorization rule. In one embodiment, authorization can be performed using POST data. In another embodiment, POST data is not used for authorization. If POST data is to be used for authorization, then the method of Figure 16 begins with step 1620. Otherwise, the method begins at step 1624. In step 1620, if the resource request employs a POST request method, then authorization module 542 proceeds to step
30 1622 where it applies the authorization rule to the POST data. If the resource request does not employ a POST request method (or if POST data is not enabled to be used

for authorization), then the method proceeds to step 1624. If specific users are defined (by distinguished name) in the authorization rule, the system evaluates whether the distinguished name from the cookie (e.g. impersonatee) matches the distinguished name called for by the authorization rule (step 1626). If specific groups
5 are defined in the authorization rule (step 1628), then the system evaluates whether the distinguished name (e.g. impersonatee) from the cookie is a member of the group called for by the authorization rule (step 1630). In one embodiment, the user's group membership is cached. If specific roles are defined in the authorization rule (step 1632), then the method evaluates whether the entity associated with the distinguished
10 name from the cookie (e.g. impersonatee) matches the role called for by the authorization rule (step 1634). If specific LDAP rules are defined in the authorization rule (step 1640), the system evaluates whether the attributes of the identity profile for the distinguished name from the cookie (e.g. impersonatee) match the LDAP rule called for by the authorization rule (step 1642). If specific IP addresses are defined in
15 the authorization rule (step 1644), then the system evaluates whether the IP address of the authenticated user matches the IP addresses called for by the authorization rule (step 1646). If a successful match is found at any point (steps 1627, 1631, 1635, 1643, and 1647), then the authorization is successful (step 1650). In another embodiment, multiple matches must be found before an authorization is deemed
20 successful. For example, a user may need to satisfy an LDAP filter and have a certain IP address in order to be authorized. If no matches are found, authorization is unsuccessful (step 1652).

In one embodiment of the present invention, an authentication scheme is created by defining eight sets of parameters. The first parameter is the name of the
25 authentication scheme. The second parameter is a description of the authentication scheme. The third parameter is the level. That is, authentication schemes can be at different levels to identify which schemes are more stringent than others. The fourth parameter identifies the challenge method (e.g. basic, form, certificate, etc.). The fifth parameter identifies challenge parameters. For example, challenge parameters can
30 include a user ID, password, ID for the impersonatee, etc. The sixth parameter indicates whether SSL is required. The seventh parameter includes a redirect URL

which can be used to redirect the user after authentication is successful, after authentication has failed or prior to authentication. The eighth parameter identifies a set of plug-ins. In one embodiment, the processes for using the authentication challenge parameters (e.g. the authentication credentials) are performed by a set of plug-ins. For example, the processes of Figures 12 and 13 can be performed by plug-ins. In one embodiment, the process of Figure 13 is performed by a first plug-in and the process of steps 1322-1326 (or just step 1328) are performed by a second plug-in. Source code for a sample plug-in is provided in the Appendix below. Some embodiments do not use plug-ins. Rather, the functionality is built-in.

More details of various processes for authenticating and authorizing can be found in U.S. Patent Application No. 09/814,091, "Access System Interface," filed on March 21, 2001, Charles W. Knouse and Minoo Gupta ("091 Application"), which in its entirety is incorporated herein by reference. Specifically, Figures 14-56 of the '091 Application describe the details of one set of implementations for authenticating and authorizing. Figure 58-62 of the '091 Application describe a set of embodiments that use an application program interface for accessing the system. The present invention can make use of the authentication and/or authorization technology described in the '091 Patent or various other methods of authentication and/or authorization. Additionally, the present invention can be used with Identity Systems like the embodiments described above, the embodiments described in Figure 1-13 of the '091 Patent, or other suitable systems. The present invention can also be used with an implementation that does not include an Identity System.

In addition to troubleshooting, the present invention can be used for end-user to end-user impersonation. A typical example is when a first user is on vacation and has a temporary substitute – the second user - who may require access to the applications the first user normally has access to. In this case, a feasible solution could be to use a predefined attribute in the user identity profile. Each user would have the ability to modify this. If a user A wants user B to impersonate user A, user A will update user A's profile to add user B as a value in the attribute for impersonating. Then, the above described process can be altered so that when user B

authenticates and tries to impersonate user A, the system checks the attributes for user A to verify that user B is listed as an entity who can impersonate user A.

In another embodiment, an administrator can be provided with the right to impersonate. Then, only those administrators with the right to impersonate can perform the process described above in order to impersonate another user. In another option, the right to impersonate may be defined by an LDAP rule or other type of rule which limits the entities that can impersonate.

The foregoing detailed description of the invention has been presented for purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form disclosed. Many modifications and variations are possible in light of the above teaching. The described embodiments were chosen in order to best explain the principles of the invention and its practical application to thereby enable others skilled in the art to best utilize the invention in various embodiments and with various modifications as are suited to the particular use contemplated. It is intended that the scope of the invention be defined by the claims appended hereto.

APPENDIX

Copyright ©1996-2001, Oblix Inc.

```
5  #include "impersonator_authn.h"
    #include <stdio.h>
    #include <stdlib.h>
    #include <ldap.h>
    #define DEF_SERVER      "localhost"
10  #define DEF_PORT  389
    #define DEF_MSG      "Successfully impersonating: "
    FILE *fdebug = NULL;
    #define AT  __FILE__, __LINE__
    #ifdef _DEBUG
15  #define debug(dstr)  fprintf(fdebug,"%s:%d - ",AT);fprintf dstr;fprintf(fdebug,"\n");
    fflush(fdebug);
    #else
    #define debug(dstr)
    #endif
20  int OpenDebug (const char *file) {
        fdebug = fopen (file,"a");
        if (fdebug) {
            debug ((fdebug,"Starting plugin\n"));
            return 1;
25        }
        return 0;
    }
    void CloseDebug () {
        fclose (fdebug);
30  }
```

```
int LdapAuth (const char *server, const char *port, const char *base, const char *
filter, const char * pwd) {
    LDAP      *ld;
    LDAPMessage *result, *e;
5   BerElement *ber;
    char      *a;
    char      *lhost;
    int       lport;
    char      *dn;
10   int       i, rc, num_entries, num_refs;
    /* Get a handle to an LDAP connection. */
    if (server && strlen(server)) { lhost = strdup(server); } else { lhost =
strdup(DEF_SERVER); }
    if (port && strlen(port)) { lport = atoi(port); } else { lport = DEF_PORT; }
15   if ((!(base && strlen(base))) || (!(filter && strlen(filter)))) {
        debug((fdebug, "Invalid search base or filter, aborting"));
        return(-1);
    }
    if (!(pwd && strlen(pwd))) {
20        debug((fdebug, "No password supplied. Aborting"));
        return(-1);
    }
    if ( (ld = ldap_init( lhost,lport )) == NULL ) {
        debug((fdebug, "Could connect to server (%s:%d). Aborting",lhost,lport) );
25        free(lhost);
        return(-1);
    }
    free(lhost);
    /* Bind anonymously to the LDAP server. */
30   rc = ldap_simple_bind_s( ld, NULL, NULL );
    if ( rc != LDAP_SUCCESS ) {
```

```
debug((fdebug,"Error binding to the LDAP server. ldap_simple_bind_s: %s\n",
ldap_err2string(rc)));
return(-1);
} /* Search for the entry. */
5  if ( ( rc = ldap_search_ext_s( ld, base, LDAP_SCOPE_SUBTREE , filter, NULL, 0,
NULL, NULL, LDAP_NO_LIMIT,
LDAP_NO_LIMIT, &result ) ) != LDAP_SUCCESS ) {
}
num_entries = ldap_count_entries( ld, result );
10  if (num_entries > 1) {
debug((fdebug,"Error, found %d entries with that filter, when expected a
single one. Make sure search filter is correct. Aborting",num_entries));
return (-1);
}
15  if (!num_entries) {
debug((fdebug,"Found no entries. Aborting"));
return(-1);
}
num_refs = ldap_count_references( ld, result );
20  if (num_refs) {
debug((fdebug,"Found %d references, however, this version of the plugin doesn't
know how to handle them"));
return(-1);
}
25  e = ldap_first_entry( ld, result );
if ( e != NULL ) {
if ( ( dn = ldap_get_dn( ld, e ) ) != NULL ) {
debug((fdebug,"Found entry: %s", dn));
/** Here we do the next bind */
30  rc = ldap_simple_bind_s( ld, dn, pwd );
if ( rc != LDAP_SUCCESS ) {
```

```

    debug((fdebug,"Error binding to the LDAP server. ldap_simple_bind_s:
%s\n", ldap_err2string(rc)));
    return(-1);
} else {
5      debug((fdebug,"Successfully authenticated %s",dn));
    }
    ldap_memfree( dn );
} else {
    debug((fdebug,"Error getting the entry's DN"));
10    return -1;
    }
} else {
    debug((fdebug,"Error, could not get the first entry in the search"));
    }
15    ldap_msgfree( result );
    ldap_unbind( ld );
    return( 0 );
}
OBDLLEXPORT
20    ObAuthnPluginStatus_t
ObAuthnPluginInit (ObAuthnPluginResult_t *pResult, ObAuthnPluginGetVersion_t
pVersion, const char *installDir) {
    if (OpenDebug("/tmp/impersonator.log")) {
        *pResult = strdup("Success");
25    return ObAuthnPluginStatusContinue;
    } else {
        *pResult = strdup("Error opening debug file");
        return ObAuthnPluginStatusAbort;
    }
30 }
OBDLLEXPORT
```

ObAuthnPluginStatus_t

ObAuthnPluginTerminate (ObAuthnPluginResult_t *pResult, const char *installDir)

{

CloseDebug();

5 *pResult = strdup("Success");

return ObAuthnPluginStatusContinue;

}

OBDLLEXPORT

ObAuthnPluginStatus_t

10 ObAuthnPluginFn (ObAuthnPluginCredentials_t Credentials, ObAuthnPluginResult_t

*pResult, ObAuthnPluginGetCredential_t pGetCred, ObAuthnPluginSetCredential_t

pSetCred, const char *installDir) {

char *ldapServer=NULL;

char *ldapPort=NULL;

15 char *ldapBase=NULL;

char *ldapFilter=NULL;

char *ldapPwd=NULL;

char *impersonatee=NULL;

if (ldapServer = (char *)pGetCred(Credentials,"ldapServer")) {

20 debug((fdebug,"fetched LDAP server: %s",ldapServer));

}

if (ldapBase = (char *)pGetCred(Credentials,"obMappingBase")) {

debug((fdebug,"fetched LDAP base: %s",ldapBase));

}

25 if (ldapFilter = (char *)pGetCred(Credentials,"obMappingFilter")) {

debug((fdebug,"fetched LDAP filter: %s",ldapFilter));

}

if (ldapPort = (char *)pGetCred(Credentials,"ldapPort")) {

debug((fdebug,"fetched LDAP port: %s",ldapPort));

30 }

if (ldapPwd = (char *)pGetCred(Credentials,"password")) {

```
        debug((fdebug,"fetched user password"));
    }
    if (impersonatee = (char *)pGetCred(Credentials,"impersonatee")) {
        debug((fdebug,"trying to impersonate: %s",impersonatee));
5        } else {
            *pResult = strdup("Did not get a valid ID for user to impersonate");
            return ObAuthnPluginStatusDenied;
        } /* Now we do the LDAP authentication */
    if (LdapAuth (ldapServer, ldapPort, ldapBase, ldapFilter, ldapPwd) == 0) {
10        debug((fdebug,"Successful authentication"));
        *pResult = malloc (strlen(DEF_MSG)+strlen(impersonatee)+1);
        sprintf(*pResult,"%s%s",DEF_MSG,impersonatee);
        return ObAuthnPluginStatusContinue;
    } else {
15        debug((fdebug,"Authentication failed"));
    }
    *pResult = strdup("Authentication failed");
    return ObAuthnPluginStatusDenied;
}

20 Source of include/impersonator_authn.h
#ifdef _ObAuthnAPI_h_
#define _ObAuthnAPI_h_
#include <string.h>
#ifdef _WIN32
25  #ifndef OBDLLEXPORT
    #define OBDLLEXPORT __declspec (dllexport)
    #endif
    #else
    #ifndef OBDLLEXPORT
30  #define OBDLLEXPORT
    #endif
```

```
#endif
typedef enum
{
    ObAuthnPluginStatusDenied = 2,
5    ObAuthnPluginStatusContinue = 1,
    ObAuthnPluginStatusAbort = 0
} ObAuthnPluginStatus_t;
typedef char *ObAuthnPluginResult_t;
typedef void const* ObAuthnPluginCredentials_t;
10 typedef const char *(*ObAuthnPluginGetVersion_t) (void);
typedef const char *(*ObAuthnPluginGetCredential_t) (ObAuthnPluginCredentials_t,
const char*);
typedef ObAuthnPluginStatus_t (*ObAuthnPluginSetCredential_t)
(ObAuthnPluginCredentials_t, const char*, const char*, const int);
15 typedef ObAuthnPluginStatus_t (*ObAuthnPluginInit_t) (ObAuthnPluginResult_t*,
ObAuthnPluginGetVersion_t, const char*);
typedef ObAuthnPluginStatus_t (*ObAuthnPluginTerminate_t)
(ObAuthnPluginResult_t*, const char*);
typedef ObAuthnPluginStatus_t (*ObAuthnPluginFn_t)
20 (ObAuthnPluginCredentials_t, ObAuthnPluginResult_t*,
ObAuthnPluginGetCredential_t, ObAuthnPluginSetCredential_t, const char*);
/* ObAuthnPluginInit Defines an initialization function for an authen plugin.
* Parameters:
* OUT pResult Result message reported by the function;
25 * IN pVersion Function callback to get the version of the
* Authentication API being used.
* IN installDir The installation directory of the Access Server.
* Returns:
* ObAuthnPluginStatusContinue on successful initialization.
30 * ObAuthnPluginStatusAbort on failure. */
```


OBDLLEXPORT ObAuthnPluginStatus_t ObAuthnPluginInit
(ObAuthnPluginResult_t*, ObAuthnPluginGetVersion_t, const char*);

/* ObAuthnPluginTerminate

* Defines a termination function for an authentication plugin

5 * to be called when the NetPoint Access Server terminates. The

* termination function can clean up as needed for the custom library

* Parameters:

* OUT pResult Result message reported by the function;

* IN installDir The installation directory of the Access Server.

10 * Returns:

* ObAuthnPluginStatusContinue on successful termination.

* ObAuthnPluginStatusAbort on failure. */

OBDLLEXPORT ObAuthnPluginStatus_t ObAuthnPluginTerminate
(ObAuthnPluginResult_t*, const char*);

15

/* ObAuthnPluginFn

* Defines a custom authentication function, to be called during

* the authentication process. A custom function can perform

* additional authentication processing with the user credentials

20 * and can modify the list of credentials.

* Parameters:

* IN Credentials Handle to the credential data supplied by the

* user or a previous authentication plugin.

* OUT Credentials Handle to the credentials, possibly modified

25 * by the ObAuthnPluginFn function.

* OUT pResult Result message reported by the function; will

* IN pGetCred Function callback to get credential information

* from the parameter Credentials

* IN pSetCred Function callback to set credential information

30 * from the parameter Credentials

* IN installDir The installation directory of the Access Server.

- * Does not include oblix/
- * Returns:
- * ObAuthnPluginStatusContinue on successful authentication.
- * ObAuthnPluginStatusAbort on failure. */

5 OBDLLEXPORT ObAuthnPluginStatus_t ObAuthnPluginFn
(ObAuthnPluginCredentials_t, ObAuthnPluginResult_t*,
ObAuthnPluginGetCredential_t, ObAuthnPluginSetCredential_t, const char*);

/* ObAuthnPluginGetCredential

- 10 * Retrieves a credential value from the credentials data that
* was supplied by the user or a previous authentication plugin.

* Parameters:

- * IN Credentials Handle to the credential data supplied by
- * the user or a previous authentication plugin.

15 * IN CredentialName Name of the credentials to retrieve.

* Returns:

- * A pointer to the credential value if the named credential
- * exists. If the named credential does not exist, NULL. */

const char *ObAuthnPluginGetCredential (ObAuthnPluginCredentials_t, const
20 char*);

/* ObAuthnPluginSetCredential Sets a credential to the specified value.

* Parameters:

- * IN Credentials Handle to the credential data supplied by
- * the user or a previous authentication plugin.

25 * OUT Credentials Handle to the modified credentials data.

* IN CredentialName Name of the credentials to set.

* IN CredentialValue Value to set the named credential to.

- * Returns: ObAuthnPluginStatusContinue if the named credential exists
- * and was successfully set, otherwise returns

30 * ObAuthnPluginStatusAbort. */

5